| 1 | DETERMINATION OF FLUOROQUINOLONES IN PHARMACEUTICAL         |
|---|---|
| 2 | FORMULATIONS BY EXTRACTIVE SPECTROPHOTOMETRIC METHODS USING |
| 3 | ION-PAIR COMPLEX FORMATION WITH BROMOTHYMOL BLUE            |

### Trung Dung Nguyen <sup>1,\*</sup>, Hoc Bau Le <sup>1</sup>, Tien Duc Pham <sup>2,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Faculty of Physics and chemical Engineering, Le Quy Don Technical University, 236 Hoang
Quoc Viet, Hanoi, Viet Nam

<sup>2</sup> Faculty of Chemistry, VNU- University of Science, Vietnam National University, Hanoi, 19 Le
 Thanh Tong, Hoan Kiem, Hanoi 10000, Vietnam

9 \*Corresponding authors:

10 <u>nguyentrungdung1980@gmail.com</u>, Tel.: +84 24 3755 5706; Fax: +84 24 3755 5706

11 <u>tienduchphn@gmail.com</u>, Tel.: +84 24 3825 3503; Fax: +84 24 3824 1140.

12

## 13

#### 14 Abstract

15

In this paper, we reported a new, simple, accurate, and precise spectrophotometric method 16 for the determination of fluoroquinolones (FQs) including ciprofloxacin (CFX), levofloxacin 17 (LFX) and ofloxacin (OFX) in pharmaceutical formulations. The proposed method is based on the 18 ion-pair formation complexes between FQs and an anionic dye, bromothymol blue (BTB) in acidic 19 20 medium. The yellow colored complexes which were extracted into chloroform, were measured at the wavelengths of 420, 415, and 418 nm for CFX, LFX and OFX, respectively. Some effective 21 conditions such as pH, dye concentration, shaking time, and organic solvents were also 22 systematically studied. Very good limit of detection (LOD) of 0.084 µg/mL, 0.101 µg/mL, and 23 0.105 µg/mL were found for CFX, LFX, and OFX, respectively. The stoichiometry of the 24 complexes formed between FQs and BTB determined by Job's method of continuous variation 25 was 1:1. No interference was observed from common excipients occurred in pharmaceutical 26 formulations. The proposed method has been successfully applied to determine the FQs in some 27 pharmaceutical products. 28

29 30

31 Keywords: Fluoroquinolones, Spectrophotometric method, Ion-pair formation, Bromothymol blue

- 32
- 33
- 34
- 35 36
- 50
- 37

#### 38 1. INTRODUCTION

Fluoroquinolones (FQs) are the important antibiotics used for the treatment of gram-negative bacterial infections in both human and veterinary medicine. They are derivatives of 4-quinolone, which have unsubstituted or substituted piperazine ring attached at the 7-position to the central ring system of quinoline as well as fluorine atom at the 6-position. The FQs are useful to treat of a variety of infections, regarding to soft tissue infections, respiratory infections, urinary tract infections, bone-joint infections, typhoid fever, prostatitis, sexually transmitted diseases, acute bronchitis, community acquired pneumonia, and sinusitis [1-3].

Ciprofloxacin (CFX), which is one of the second generated group of synthetic FQs, can exhibit
greater intrinsic antibacterial activity and make a broader antibacterial spectrum. Ofloxacin (OFX),
is a chiral compound that is widely used to treat above infections. Levofloxacin (LFX), is the pure
(-)-(S)-enantiomer of the racemic drug substance ofloxacin. Figures 1a, 1b and 1c show the
chemical structures of CFX, LFX and OFX, respectively.

Several techniques like voltammetry [4], flow injection electrogenerated chemiluminescence 51 [5], spectrofluorometry [6-7], spectrophotometry [8-9], high performance liquid chromatography 52 [10-11], and liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometry [12-13] have been used for the 53 54 determination of fluoroquinolones in pharmaceutical and biological products. Among them, spectrophotometric method has several advantages such as low interference level, good selectivity, 55 simple, fast and low cost. Spectrophotometric was successfully used for pharmaceutical analysis, 56 involving quality control of commercialized product and pharmacodynamic studies. 57 Spectrophotometric methods for the determination of fluoroquinolones could be classified 58 according to the different reactions: (i) Charge-transfer complexation based on the reaction of FQs 59 as electron donors with p-acceptors such as 2,3-dichloro-5,6-dicyano-g-benzoquinone, 7,7,8,8-60 tetracyanoquinodimethane, q-chloranil, q-nitrophenol and tetracyanoethylene [7, 14-16]; (ii) 61 62 oxidative coupling reaction using oxidative coupling with 3-methyl-2benzothiazolinonehydrazone hydrochloride and cerium (IV) ammonium sulfate, Fe(III)- MBTH, 63 tris(o-phenanthroline) iron(II) and tris (bipyridyl) iron(II) [17-18]; (iii) ion-pair complex 64 formation with acid-dye reagents such as sudan III, methyl orange, supracene violet 3B, tropeolin 65 00, bromophenol blue, bromothymol blue, bromocresol green and bromocresol purple [8, 14, 19-66 20]. These methods were related with some major drawbacks such as having narrow linearity 67 range, requiring heating and close pH control, long time for the reaction to complete, low stability 68 of the colored product formed. 69

Bromothymol blue (BTB) (Fig. 1d), is an anionic dye and that can be protonated or deprotonated to form yellow or blue, respectively. The BTB was used to make ion-pair complex, that was applied to determine many pharmaceutical compounds by extractive spectrophotometric [21-25]. However, the ion-pair between BTB and FQs have not been studied. The method based on ion-pair complexes between analytes and BTB into a suitable organic solvent seems to be simple, fast and cheap.









# *Figure 1:* Chemical structures of ciprofloxacin (a), levofloxacin (b) and ofloxacin (OFX) and bromothymol blue (d)

In this paper, for the first time, we investigated extractive spectrophotometric methods based on the formation of ion-pair complexes between ciprofloxacin, levofloxacin and ofloxacin with BTB subsequent extraction into chloroform. Some effective conditions on the formation of complexes such as pH, shaking time, organic solvent, the concentration of dye were systematically studied. The present method was also applied to determine FQs in some pharmaceutical formulations including tablets, and infusions.

86

### 87 **2.EXPERIMENTAL**

88 2.1. Apparatus

A double beam UV-Visible spectrophotometer (SP-60, Biochrom Ltd., UK) with 1.0 cm of path
 lengthquartz cells was used to measure all absorbance samples. Inolab pH-meter instrument

91 (Germany) was used to monitor the pH of solutions. Three standard buffers were used to calibrate

- 92 the electrode before measuring pH of solutions. All measurements were conducted at  $25 \pm 2$  <sup>o</sup>C
- 93 controlled by air conditional laboratory.
- 94 2.2. Materials and reagents
- All chemicals used were of analytical grade and double distilled water was used to prepare allsolutions in the present study.

FQs were purchased from Sigma (Germany, with purity >99.0%), while bromothymol blue
(BTB) was supplied from Maya - R, China, with purity > 99%. The organic solvents chloroform,
dichloromethane, carbon tetrachloride, dichloroethane, benzene, toluene and other chemicals are
analytical reagents (AR, Merck, Germany).

The following dosage forms containing FQs were purchased from local pharmacy market and 101 employed in the study: Hasancip and Kacipro tablets equivalent to 500 mg ciprofloxacin (Hasan-102 Dermapharm and Dong Nam manufacturing – Trading pharmaceutical Co., Ltd, Viet Nam). 103 Ciprofloxacin infusion equivalent to 200 mg ciprofloxacin /100 ml solution for infusion (Hebei 104 Tiancheng Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd and Shandong Hualu Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd, China). Stada 105 and DHG tablets equivalent to 500 mg levofloxacin (Stada-VN J.V.Company and DHG 106 pharmaceutical joint - stock company, Viet Nam). Ofloxacin (200 mg/tablet) were provided by 107 the Mekophar Chemical Pharmaceutical Company (Viet Nam). 108

109 2.3. Solution preparation

110 A stock solution of FQs (1mg/mL) in double distilled water. The working standard solution of 111 FQs containing  $100\mu$ g/mL was prepared by appropriate dilution. The stock solution of BTB 112 (0.025%) was prepared in doubly distilled water. All stock solutions were kept in dark bottle, 113 stored in 4<sup>o</sup>C and could be used within one week.

114 *2.4. Construction of calibration curves* 

A series of 125 mL separating funnel, the volumes of working solutions of the drugs in different concentration range (CFX (1–35  $\mu$ g/mL), LFX (0.5–25  $\mu$ g/mL), OFX (0.5–25  $\mu$ g/mL) were transferred. Then, adding 4.0mL of 0.025% BTB solution before thoroughly mixing. After that, a 10 mL of chloroform was added to each of the separating funnel. The contents were shaken for 2 min and allowed to separate the two layers. The yellow colored chloroform layer containing the ion-pair complexes were measured at 420 nm for CFX, 415 nm for LFX and 418 nm for OFX against the reagent blanks. The colored chromogen complexes are stable for 24h.

122 2.5. Sample preparation

Weigh and mix the contents of twenty tablets each drug (CFX, LFX and OFX), an accurately weighed amount of powder equivalent to 0.1g of drugs transferred into a 100 mL beaker. A magnetic stirrer was used to completely disintegrate the powder in doubly distilled water. Then, filtered through a Whatman paper (No 40) and filled up to 100 mL with doubly distilled water in a volumetric flask. The working solution of the drugs containing 100  $\mu$ g/mL was prepared by dilution and determined under optimum conditions.

#### 130 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### 131 **3.1. Optimum reaction conditions**

#### 132 *3.1.1. Effect of extracting solvent*

Six organic solvents including chloroform, carbon tetrachloride, dichloromethane, dichloroethane, benzene and toluene were used to study the effect of solvent to ion pair formation between FQs and BTB. Figure 2 shows that chloroform is the most suitable solvent for extraction of three FQs with low blank absorbance, highest absorbances and lowest standard deviations. It implies that chloroform is the best extracting solvent to achieve a quantitative recovery of the complex with the shortest time to reach the equilibrium processes.



139

*Figure 2:* The effect solvent on the ion-pair complex formation (10 μg/mL of fluoroquinolones
 (FQs) with bromothymol blue (BTB)).

142 *3.1.2. Effect of pH* 

The pH of solution plays important role in the complexes. The effect of pH on the formation of ion-pairs was examined by varying the pH from 2.0 to 6.0 adjusting by 1 M HCl and 1M NaOH. The maximum absorbances were observed at pH 3.3, 3.4 and 3.5 for the complexes of BTB and OFX, CFX and LFX, respectively (Fig. 3). At these pH values correspond to the initial pH of the examined drug and the dye. Therefore, it is not necessary to adjust the pH before extraction.







#### 3.1.3. Effect of dye concentration 150

151 The effect of dye concentrations was studied by adding different volumes of 0.025 % BTB from 1.0 to 6.0 mL with a fixed concentration of FQs (10 µg/mL) (Fig.4). Figure 4 shows that the 152 maximum absorbance of the complex was achieved with 4.0 mL of 0.025% of BTB in each case 153 and excess dye did not affect the absorbance of the complex. Therefore, 4.0 mL of 0.025% of BTB 154 155

is optimum dye volume and it is kept as constant for further studies.



Figure 4: Effect of the volume of 0.025% BTB to absorbance of 10 µg/mL of OFX, LFX and CFX. 157

#### 158 *3.1.4. Effect of shaking time*

The effect of shaking time on the formation and stability of the ion-pair complex was investigated by measuring the absorbance of the extracted ion-associates with increasing time from 0 to 4.0 min. Figure 5 shows that the ion-pair complexes were formed instantaneously with 2.0 min shaking time. Thus, 2.0 min is optimum shaking time and it is fixed for further studies.



163



*Figure 5:* Effect of shaking time on the ion-pair complexes

165

#### 166 *3.1.5. Stoichiometry of ion-pair complexes*

Job's method of continuous variation of equimolar solutions was employed to evaluate stoichiometry of the complex. A  $3.0 \times 10^{-4}$ M standard solution of three FQs and  $3.0 \times 10^{-4}$ M solution of BTB were used. A series of solutions was prepared in which the total volume of drug and reagent was kept in 10 mL while the absorbances were measured at 420, 415 and 418 nm, for CFX, LFX and OFX, respectively. The absorbances were plotted against the mole fraction of the drugs. The stoichiometry for each drug–dye ion-pair complex was found to be 1:1 (Fig. 6).



and OFX), that can be easily protonated under acidic conditions. On the one hand, the sulphonic acid group in BTB that is the only group undergoing dissociation in the pH range 1-5. The colour of BTB is on basis of lactoid ring and subsequent formation of quinoid group. It is suggested that the two tautomers are plausible in equilibrium due to strong acidic nature of the sulphonic acid group. Thus, the quinoid body must predominate. Finally, the protonated fluoroquinolones forms ion-pairs with BTB dye that could be quantitatively extracted into chloroform. The possible reaction mechanisms are proposed and given in a scheme in Fig. 7. 



#### Figure 7: Proposal mechanism for the reaction between levofloxacin, ofloxacin and 189 190 bromothymol blue

The absorption spectra of the ion-pair complexes, which were formed between FQs and BTB 191 were measured in the wavelength range 350-500nm against the blank solution and shown in Fig. 192 193 8.

Figure 8 shows absorption maxima for CFX-BTB, LFX-BTB and OFX-BTB in chloroform 194 were observed at 420, 415, and 418 nm, respectively. The reagent blanks under similar conditions 195 196 have insignificantly absorbances.

188



Figure 8: Absorption spectrum of ion-associate complexes of fluoroquinolones (10 μg/mL)
 with BTB against reagent blank.

200 3.1.7. Association constants of ion-pair complexes

201 The equation of association constant of ion-pair complex is

202 
$$\frac{A/A_m}{[1-\frac{A}{A_m}]^{n+2}C_{M(n)n}}(1)$$

where A and  $A_m$  are the observed maximum absorbance and the absorbance value when all the drug present is associated, respectively.  $C_M$  is the molar concentration of drug at the maximum absorbance and n is the stoichiometry in which BTB ion associates with drugs. The conditional stability constants (K<sub>f</sub>) of the ion-pair complexes for FQs were calculated from the continuous variation data using the following equation [26]:

208 
$$K_{f} = \frac{A / A_{m}}{\left[1 - A / A_{m}\right]^{n+2} C_{M}(n)^{n}} (2)$$

The conditional stability constants ( $K_f$ ) of the ion-pair complexes for FQs are indicated in Table 1.

- 211
- 212
- 213
- 214
- 215

| Sample | V <sub>drug</sub><br>(mL) | V <sub>BTB</sub><br>(mL) | Α     | n  | n^n                       | $\left[1 - \frac{A}{A_m}\right]^{n+2}$ | $\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{f}}$ | logK <sub>f</sub> | Mean |  |  |  |
|--------|---------------------------|--------------------------|-------|--|---------------------------|--|---------------------------|-------------------|------|--|--|--|
|        | Ofloxacin                 |                          |       |  |                           |  |                           |                   |      |  |  |  |
| 1      | 0.25                      | 2.25                     | 0.202 | 0.202 0.1111 0.7834 0.3116 50204.7802 4.70 |                           | 4.7007                                 | 6.08                      |                   |      |  |  |  |
| 2      | 0.5                       | 2                        | 0.272 | 0.2500                                     | 0.7071                    | 0.1486                                 | 157048.9127               | 5.1960            |      |  |  |  |
| 3      | 0.75                      | 1.75                     | 0.336 | 0.4286                                     | 0.6955                    | 0.0512                                 | 572507.7789               | 5.7578            |      |  |  |  |
| 4      | 1                         | 1.5                      | 0.419 | 0.6667                                     | 0.7631                    | 0.0035                                 | 9562329.8320              | 6.9806            |      |  |  |  |
| 5      | 1.25                      | 1.25                     | 0.476 | 1.0000                                     | 1.0000                    | 0.0000                                 | _                         | _                 |      |  |  |  |
| 6      | 1.5                       | 1                        | 0.432 | 1.5000                                     | 1.8371                    | 0.0002                                 | 59414177.6247             | 7.7739            |      |  |  |  |
| 7      | 1.75                      | 0.75                     | 0.356 | 2.3333                                     | 7.2213                    | 0.0026                                 | 1172246.1806              | 6.0690            |      |  |  |  |
|        | Levofloxacin              |                          |       |  |                           |  |                           |                   |      |  |  |  |
| 1      | 0.25                      | 2.25                     | 0.137 | 0.1111                                     | 0.7834                    | 0.5749                                 | 14789.8587                | 4.1700            | 6.04 |  |  |  |
| 2      | 0.5                       | 2                        | 0.313 | 0.2500                                     | 0.7071                    | 0.1856                                 | 115961.3839               | 5.0643            |      |  |  |  |
| 3      | 0.75                      | 1.75                     | 0.432 | 0.4286                                     | 0.6955                    | 0.0426                                 | 708574.1920               | 5.8504            |      |  |  |  |
| 4      | 1                         | 1.5                      | 0.559 | 0.6667                                     | 0.7631                    | 0.0005                                 | 67745245.6475             | 7.8309            |      |  |  |  |
| 5      | 1.25                      | 1.25                     | 0.594 | 1.0000                                     | 1.0000                    | 0.0000                                 | _                         | _                 |      |  |  |  |
| 6      | 1.5                       | 1                        | 0.53  | 1.5000                                     | 1.8371                    | 0.0004                                 | 34165312.8945             | 7.5336            |      |  |  |  |
| 7      | 1.75                      | 0.75                     | 0.426 | 2.3333                                     | 7.2213 0.0042 682897.0665 |  | 5.8344                    |                   |      |  |  |  |
|        |                           | •                        | •     |  | Ciproflox                 | acin                                   |                           |                   |      |  |  |  |
| 1      | 0.25                      | 2.25                     | 0.392 | 0.1111                                     | 0.7834                    | 0.2112                                 | 83530.0520                | 4.9218            | 5.91 |  |  |  |
| 2      | 0.5                       | 2                        | 0.452 | 0.2500                                     | 0.7071                    | 0.1265                                 | 178145.0100               | 5.2508            |      |  |  |  |
| 3      | 0.75                      | 1.75                     | 0.564 | 0.4286                                     | 0.6955                    | 0.0345                                 | 828480.0305               | 5.9183            |      |  |  |  |
| 4      | 1                         | 1.5                      | 0.661 | 0.6667                                     | 0.7631                    | 0.0036                                 | 8522213.4055              | 6.9306            |      |  |  |  |
| 5      | 1.25                      | 1.25                     | 0.752 | 1.0000                                     | 1.0000                    | 0.0000                                 | _                         | _                 |      |  |  |  |
| 6      | 1.5                       | 1                        | 0.615 | 1.5000                                     | 1.8371                    | 0.0026                                 | 4572267.1248              | 6.6601            |      |  |  |  |
| 7      | 1.75                      | 0.75                     | 0.538 | 2.3333                                     | 7.2213                    | 0.0043                                 | 608798.6008               | 5.7845            |      |  |  |  |

Table 1. The conditional stability constants  $(K_f)$  of the ion-pair complexes for FQs.

217 "\_": Not determination

- 218
- Table 1 show that the logK<sub>f</sub> values of ion-pair associates for OFX-BTB LFX-BTB and CFX-BTB were  $6.08\pm0.46$ ,  $6.04\pm0.58$  and  $5.91\pm0.32$ , respectively (numbers of replicated experiments n = 6).
- 221 The obtained results confirmed that the ion-pair formation complexes are high stability.

#### 222 **3.2.** Validation of the present method

The proposed methods are validated according to International Conference on Harmonization (ICH) guidelines in respect to linearity, sensitivity, LOD and LOQ, accuracy, precision [27]. The parameters that have been investigated and indicated below.

#### 226 3.2.1. Linearity, Sensitivity, Limits of Detection and Quantification

- A linear between the measured absorbance and the concentration range studied for each drug
- as shown in Fig. 9, and the correlation coefficients (R) of at least 0.997 were achieved. The limit
- of detection (LOD) and quantification (LOQ) of the method are given by  $3.3\frac{\text{SD}}{\text{b}}$  and  $10\frac{\text{SD}}{\text{b}}$
- respectively, where SD is the standard deviation of blank absorbance values, b is the slope of the
- 231 calibration curve equation.





*Figure 9:* Calibration curves for OFX, LFX and CFX at 418, 415 and 420 nm, respectively.

The LOD and LOQ values, slope and intercept of linear graphs for all the drugs and analytical parameters are indicated in Table 2. The molar absorptivities, Sandell's sensitivity of each methods was calculated and these values showed that the molar absorbtivity of CFX-BTB> LFX-BTB > OFX-BTB ion-pair complexes.

| Parameters                                  | Proposed methods                                 |                      |                    |  |  |
|---|--|----------------------|--------------------|--|--|
|   | Ofloxacin  | Levofloxacin         | Ciprofloxacin      |  |  |
| Colour                                      | Yellow   | Yellow               | Yellow             |  |  |
| Wavelengths $\lambda_{max}(nm)$             | 418  | 415                  | 420                |  |  |
| рН  | 3.3  | 3.5                  | 3.4                |  |  |
| Stability (h)                               | 24   | 24                   | 24                 |  |  |
| Shaking time (min)                          | 2  | 2                    | 2                  |  |  |
| Stoichiometric ratio                        | 1:1  | 1:1                  | 1:1                |  |  |
| Beer's law range (µg/mL)                    | 1 – 35   | 0.5 - 25             | 0.5 - 25           |  |  |
| Limit of detection, LOD                     | 0.105  | 0.101                | 0.004              |  |  |
| (µg/mL)                                     | 0.105  | 0.101                | 0.084              |  |  |
| Limit of quantitation, LOQ                  |  |                      |                    |  |  |
| $(\mu g/mL)$                                | 0.315  | 0.303                | 0.252              |  |  |
| Molar absorptivity (L/mol.cm)               | $1.44 \text{x} 10^4$                             | 2.07x10 <sup>4</sup> | $2.09 \times 10^4$ |  |  |
| Sandell's sensitivity (µg/cm <sup>2</sup> ) | 0.068  | 0.048                | 0.046              |  |  |
| Regression equation $(Y = bx + a)$ , w      | where Y is the absorbance, a is the intercept, b |                      |                    |  |  |
| is the slope and x i                        | s the concent                                    | tration in μg/mL     |                    |  |  |
| Slope (b)                                   | 0.040  | 0.057                | 0.061              |  |  |
| Intercept (a)                               | 0.0165   | 0.072                | 0.089              |  |  |
| Correlation coefficient (R)                 | 0.998  | 0.997                | 0.998              |  |  |

243 *3.2.2. Accuracy and Precision* 

The accuracy and precision of the methods were determined by preparing solutions of three different concentrations of drug and analyzing them in six replicates. The precision of the proposed methods was evaluated as percentage relative standard deviation (RSD%) and accuracy as percentage relative error (RE%). The percentage relative error calculated using the following equation.

248 RE (%) = 
$$\left[\frac{(founded-added)}{added}\right] \times 100 (3)$$

The accuracy and precision were summarized in Table 3. The low values of the RSD and RE confirmthe high precision and the good accuracy of the present method.

251

| Method        | Additive<br>concentration<br>(µg/mL) | Found<br>concentration<br>(µg/mL) | Recovery<br>(%) | RSD (%) | RE (%) |
|---------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------|---------|--------|
|               | 5.00                                 | 5.11                              | 102.19          | 2.31    | 2.2    |
| Ofloxacin     | 10.00                                | 10.26                             | 102.64          | 1.34    | 2.6    |
|               | 15.00                                | 14.89                             | 99.25           | 0.88    | -0.73  |
|               | 5.00                                 | 5.16                              | 102.70          | 2.03    | 2.8    |
| Levofloxacin  | 10.00                                | 10.16                             | 101.56          | 1.10    | 1.6    |
|               | 15.00                                | 14.82                             | 98.80           | 0.50    | -1.2   |
|               | 5.00                                 | 5.13                              | 102.71          | 1.92    | 2.6    |
| Ciprofloxacin | 10.00                                | 9.74                              | 97.41           | 0.52    | -2.6   |
|               | 15.00                                | 14.60                             | 97.33           | 0.57    | -2.7   |

Table 3. Evaluation of accuracy and precision of the proposed methods (n=6)

### 253 *3.2.3. Selectivity and effect of Interferences*

The effect of commonly utilized excipients in drug formulation was studied. The investigated FQs were studied with various excipients such as magnesium stearate, glucose, lactose, starch and sodium chloride which were prepared in the proportion corresponding to their amounts in the real drugs with final dosage of 10  $\mu$ g/mL FQ. The effect of excipients on the determination of FQs were evaluated by recovery when determining FQs analyzed with the proposed method in the present of excipient (Table 4).

Table 4. The effect of excipients on the determination of fluoroquinolones (10  $\mu$ g/mL)

| Recovery (%) ±SD      |   |             |              |               |  |  |  |  |
|-----------------------|---|-------------|--------------|---------------|--|--|--|--|
| Excipients            | Amount<br>excipient<br>added<br>(µg/mL) | Ofloxacin   | Levofloxacin | Ciprofloxacin |  |  |  |  |
| Magnesium<br>stearate | 500                                     | 102.04±0.12 | 101.23±0.089 | 98.53±0.91    |  |  |  |  |
| Glucose               | 250                                     | 100.17±0.16 | 99.04±0.14   | 99.08±0.062   |  |  |  |  |
| Lactose               | 500                                     | 99.92±0.21  | 100.20±0.12  | 99.73±0.21    |  |  |  |  |
| Starch                | 200                                     | 100.96±0.24 | 98.89±0.13   | 101.31±0.17   |  |  |  |  |
| Sodium<br>chloride    | 500                                     | 100.13±0.24 | 100.15±0.11  | 99.75±0.16    |  |  |  |  |

The results in Fig. 4 show that the recoveries are in the range of 98.53 - 102.04, demonstrating that no interference of excipients when FQs in drugs are quantified by extractive spectrophotometric using ion-pair formation with BTB. In other word, the present method has a high selectivity for determining FQs in its dosage forms.

#### 264 **3.3.** Comparison with other spectrophotometric methods

The proposed method compares with other reported methods. It has been observed that, the extractive spectrophotometric method with BTB in the present research is more high sensitivity than other ones (Table 5). It also doesnot need heating, the product is stable for a longer time and the interference are minimum.

269

270

271

272

#### Table 5. The comparison of present study with other spectrophotometric method

| Drug          | Reagent                                | λ <sub>max</sub><br>(nm) | Range of<br>determinatio<br>n<br>(µg/ mL) | Molar<br>absorbitivity<br>(L/mol·cm) | Remarks   | Ref. |
|---------------|--|--------------------------|---|--------------------------------------|---|------|
|               | Co (II)<br>tetrathiocyanate            | 623                      | 20-240                                    | 8.38×10 <sup>2</sup>                 | Less sensitive  | [28] |
|               | Supracene Violet<br>3                  | 575                      | 2.5-30                                    | 8.62×10 <sup>3</sup>                 | Less sensitive  | [29] |
|               | Eosin Y                                | 547                      | 2-8                                       | 3.56×10 <sup>4</sup>                 | Less stable colour  | [30] |
|               | Merbromin                              | 545                      | 2-15                                      | 1.23×10 <sup>4</sup>                 | Addition of CN <sup>-</sup> to<br>inhibit Hg <sup>+2</sup> ions |      |
|               | Ce(IV)- MBTH                           | 630                      | 10-50                                     | -                                    | Involves shaking<br>time  | [17] |
|               | Tris(o-<br>phenanthroline)<br>iron(II) | 510                      | 0.04-7.2                                  | 3.4×10 <sup>4</sup>                  | Involves shaking time and heating                               | [18] |
| Ciprofloxacin | Tris (bipyridyl)<br>iron(II)           | 522                      | 0.05-9                                    | 2.95×10 <sup>4</sup>                 | Involves shaking time and heating                               |      |
|               | CL                                     | 520                      | 16-96                                     | -                                    | Involves shaking time and heating                               | [16] |
|               | TCNE                                   | 335                      | 0.25-15                                   | -                                    | Involves shaking time and heating                               |      |
|               | Sudan II                               | 550                      | 0.8-7.1                                   | 5.3×10 <sup>4</sup>                  |   |      |
|               | Congo Red                              | 517                      | 0.5-6.0                                   | 2.83×10 <sup>4</sup>                 | Narrow linear<br>range  | [8]  |
|               | Gentian Violet                         | 585                      | 0.5-10                                    | 2.21×10 <sup>4</sup>                 |   |      |
|               | Brilliant Blue G                       | 610                      | 0.5-6.0                                   | 2.86×10 <sup>4</sup>                 | Narrow linear range and   | [31] |

|              |                                 |     |           |                      | required pH<br>adjustment   |            |
|--------------|---------------------------------|-----|-----------|----------------------|---|------------|
|              | Bromocresol green               | 412 | 1-20      | 2.28×10 <sup>4</sup> | required pH<br>adjustment   | [14]       |
|              | BTB                             | 420 | 0.5-25    | 2.09x10 <sup>4</sup> | Highly sensitive<br>with wide linear<br>dynamic<br>ranges, no<br>heating, no<br>pH adjustment | This study |
|              | Chloranilic acid                | 521 | 15-250    | 1.2×10 <sup>3</sup>  | Less sensitive  | [14]       |
|              | Bromocresol<br>green            | 411 | 1-20      | 2.16×10 <sup>4</sup> | Required pH<br>adjustment   | [1]        |
|              | Eosin Y                         | 547 | 2-8       | 4.83×10 <sup>4</sup> | Less stable colour  | [30]       |
|              | Merbromin                       | 545 | 2-15      | 1.58×10 <sup>4</sup> | Addition of CN <sup>-</sup> to<br>inhibit Hg <sup>+2</sup> ions                               | [2,0]      |
| Levofloxacin | Cobalt (II)<br>Tetrathiocyanate | 623 | 20-240    | -                    | Less sensitive  | [28]       |
|              | Bromophenol blue                | 424 | 1.85-31.5 | $1.98 \times 10^{4}$ | Required pH   | [19]       |
|              | Bromocresol green               | 428 | 1.85-25   | 1.82×10 <sup>4</sup> | adjustment  |            |
|              | BTB                             | 415 | 0.5-25    | 2.07×10 <sup>4</sup> | Highly sensitive<br>with wide linear<br>dynamic<br>ranges, no<br>heating, no<br>pH-adjustment | This study |
|              | Supracene Violet                | 575 | 2.5-25    | 1.09×10 <sup>4</sup> | Less sensitive  | [29]       |
|              | Tropaeolin 000                  | 485 | 2.5-30    | 8.23×10 <sup>2</sup> | Less sensitive  |            |
|              | Sudan II                        | 560 | 0.8-8.4   | 2.97×10 <sup>4</sup> | Narrow linear   | [8]        |
|              | Congo Red                       | 530 | 0.5-5.5   | $3.29 \times 10^4$   | range   |            |
|              | Bromocresol                     | 400 | 1.0-16.0  | 2.31×10 <sup>4</sup> | Required pH<br>adjustment   | [22]       |
|              | Bromocresol<br>green            | 410 | 1.0-16.0  | 1.96×10 <sup>4</sup> | Required pH<br>adjustment   | [32]       |
| Ofloxacin    | Bromophenol<br>Blue             | 410 | 5-25      | 1.03×10 <sup>4</sup> |   |            |
|              | Bromothymol<br>Blue             | 415 | 2-15      | 2.01×10 <sup>4</sup> | Required close pH<br>control and<br>involved  | [20]       |
|              | Bromocresol<br>Purple           | 410 | 2-20      | 1.64×10 <sup>4</sup> | extraction steps  |            |
|              | Bromothymol<br>Blue             | 415 | 1-35      | 1.44×10 <sup>4</sup> | Highly sensitive<br>with wide linear<br>dynamic<br>ranges, no<br>heating, no<br>pH-adjustment | This study |

#### 273 **3.4.** Analysis of Pharmaceutical Formulations

The proposed method was applied successfully for determination of studied drugs in the pharmaceutical formulations (tablets, and infusion) and the results are presented in Table 6. Six replicated determinations were measured. Table 6 shows that satisfactory recovery data were obtained and the recovery efficiency varies from 97.41% to 101.20%, indicating high accuracy of the present method in determining real pharmaceutical samples.

# Table 6. Determination of the studied drugs in their pharmaceutical preparations using proposed method (n = 6)

| Pharmaceutical         | Hasancip   | Kacipro     | Shandong   | Hebei      | Levofloxacin   | Levofloxaci | Ofloxacin      |
|------------------------|------------|-------------|------------|------------|----------------|-------------|----------------|
| preparation            | tablet     | tablet      | infusion   | infusion   | Stada          | n DHG       | Mekopharm      |
| Labeled amount         | 500 mg     | 500 mg      | 200 mg/100 | 200 mg/100 | 500 mg /tablet | 500 mg      | 200 mg /tablet |
| (mg/form)              | /tablet    | /tablet     | mL         | mL         |                | /tablet     |                |
| Recovery $(\%) \pm SD$ | 98.89±0.23 | 101.20±0.20 | 97.41±0.42 | 97.69±0.36 | 99.53±0.17     | 101.01±0.35 | 99.58±0.46     |

281

### 282 4. CONCLUSIONS

283 We have reported a new method when using BTB as an anionic dyes for the extractive spectrophotometric determination of ciprofloxacin (CFX), levofloxacin (LFX) and ofloxacin 284 (OFX) in different pharmaceutical drugs (tablets and infusions). The methods have the advantages 285 of simplicity without heating, pH-adjustment and high sensitivity. The limit of detection (LOD) of 286 0.084 µg/mL for CFX, 0.101 µg/mL for LFX, and 0.105 µg/mL for OFX. No interference from 287 common excipients was confirmed. The stoichiometry complexes of FQs and BTB determined by 288 Job's method of continuous variation was found to be 1:1. The developed and validated methods 289 290 are indicated the acceptable precision and accuracy, and recovery of the drugs and suitable for routine analysis of drugs in pharmaceutical formulations. 291

### 292 Competing Interests

293 The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

### 294 Acknowledgments.

This work was supported financially by the project of Le Quy Don Technical University, under 11/HLKT/2017 project.

#### 297 **References**

Kapetanovic, V.; Milovanovic, L.; Erceg, M., Spectrophotometric and polarographic
 investigation of the Ofloxacin-Cu(II) complexes. *Talanta* 1996, 43 (12), 2123-30.

Khaliq, Y.; Zhanel, G. G., Fluoroquinolone-Associated Tendinopathy: A Critical Review
 of the Literature. *Clinical Infectious Diseases* 2003, 1404-1410.

- 302 3. Zhanel, G. G.; Ennis, K.; Vercaigne, L.; Walkty, A.; Gin, A. S.; Embil, J.; Smith, H.;
  303 Hoban, D. J., A Critical Review of the Fluoroquinolones. *Drugs* 2002, 62 (1), 13-59.
- 4. Ni, Y.; Wang, Y.; Kokot, S., Simultaneous determination of three fluoroquinolones by linear sweep stripping voltammetry with the aid of chemometrics. *Talanta* **2006**, *69* (1), 216-225.
- Ma, H.; Zheng, X.; Zhang, Z., Flow-injection electrogenerated chemiluminescence
  determination of fluoroquinolones based on its sensitizing effect. *Luminescence* 2005, 20 (4-5),
  308 303-306.
- 309 6. Ulu, S. T., Spectrofluorimetric determination of fluoroquinolones in pharmaceutical
  310 preparations. *Spectrochimica Acta Part A: Molecular and Biomolecular Spectroscopy* 2009, 72
  311 (1), 138-143.
- 312 7. Du, L. M.; Lin, A. P.; Yang, Y. Q., Spectrofluorimetric Determination of Certain
- Fluoroquinolone Through Charge Transfer Complex Formation. *Analytical Letters* **2004**, *37* (10),
- 314 2175-2188.
- Amin, A. S.; Moustafa, M. E.; El-Dosoky, R. M. S., Spectrophotometric Determination of
   Some Fluoroquinolone Derivatives in Dosage Forms and Biological Fluids Using Ion-Pair
   Complex Formation. *Analytical Letters* 2008, *41* (5), 837-852.
- 318 9. El-Didamony, A. M. A.-E., Mona O., Kinetic spectrophotometric method for the
  319 determination of some fourth generation fluoroquinolones in bulk and in pharmaceutical
  320 formulations. *Journal of Saudi Chemical Society* 2017, 21, S58-S66.
- 10. Santoro, M. I. R. M.; Kassab, N. M.; Singh, A. K.; Kedor-Hackmam, E. R. M., Quantitative
   determination of gatifloxacin, levofloxacin, lomefloxacin and pefloxacin fluoroquinolonic
   antibiotics in pharmaceutical preparations by high-performance liquid chromatography. *Journal* of *Pharmaceutical and Biomedical Analysis* 2006, 40 (1), 179-184.
- 11. Carlucci, G., Analysis of fluoroquinolones in biological fluids by high-performance liquid
  chromatography. *Journal of Chromatography A* 1998, *812* (1), 343-367.
- 327 12. Ziarrusta, H.; Val, N.; Dominguez, H.; Mijangos, L.; Prieto, A.; Usobiaga, A.; Etxebarria,
- N.; Zuloaga, O.; Olivares, M., Determination of fluoroquinolones in fish tissues, biological fluids,
   and environmental waters by liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometry. *Analytical and Bioanalytical Chemistry* 2017, 409 (27), 6359-6370.
- Johnston, L.; Mackay, L.; Croft, M., Determination of quinolones and fluoroquinolones in
   fish tissue and seafood by high-performance liquid chromatography with electrospray ionisation
- tandem mass spectrometric detection. *Journal of Chromatography A* **2002**, *982* (1), 97-109.
- 14. El-Brashy, A. M.; Metwally, M. E.-S.; El-Sepai, F. A., Spectrophotometric Determination
   of Some Fluoroquinolone Antibacterials
- through Charge-transfer and Ion-pair Complexation Reactions. *Bull. Korean Chem. Soc* 2004, 25
  (3), 365-372.
- 338 15. Du, L. M.; Yao, H. Y.; Fu, M., Spectrofluorimetric study of the charge-transfer
- 339 complexation of certain fluoroquinolones with 7,7,8,8-tetracyanoquinodimethane. Spectrochimica
- 340 Acta Part A: Molecular and Biomolecular Spectroscopy **2005**, 61 (1), 281-286.

Mostafa, S.; El-Sadek, M.; Alla, E. A., Spectrophotometric determination of ciprofloxacin,
 enrofloxacin and pefloxacin through charge transfer complex formation. *Journal of Pharmaceutical and Biomedical Analysis* 2002, 27 (1), 133-142.

344 17. M. Rizk, F. B., F. Ibrahim, S.M. Ahmed, N.M. El-Enany, A simple kinetic
345 spectrophotometric method for the determination of certain

346 4-quinolones in drug formulations, *Sci. Pharm* **2000**, *68*, 173–188.

- 18. Nagaralli, B. S.; Seetharamappa, J.; Melwanki, M. B., Sensitive spectrophotometric
  methods for the determination of amoxycillin, ciprofloxacin and piroxicam in pure and
  pharmaceutical formulations. *Journal of Pharmaceutical and Biomedical Analysis* 2002, 29 (5),
  859-864.
- Ashour, S.; Al-Khalil, R., Simple extractive colorimetric determination of levofloxacin by
  acid-dye complexation methods in pharmaceutical preparations. *Farmaco* 2005, *60* (9), 771-5.
- 353 20. Issa, Y. M.; Abdel-Gawad, F. M.; Abou Table, M. A.; Hussein, H. M., Spectrophotometric
- 354 Determination of Ofloxacin and Lomefloxacin Hydrochloride with some Sulphonphthalein Dyes.
- 355 *Analytical Letters* **1997,** *30* (11), 2071-2084.
- Omara, H. A.; Amin, A. S., Extractive-spectrophotometric methods for determination of
  anti-Parkinsonian drug in pharmaceutical formulations and in biological samples using
  sulphonphthalein acid dyes. *Journal of Saudi Chemical Society* 2012, *16* (1), 75-81.
- 359 22. Gouda, A. A.; Amin, A. S.; El-Sheikh, R.; Yousef, A. G., Spectrophotometric
  360 Determination of Gemifloxacin Mesylate, Moxifloxacin Hydrochloride, and Enrofloxacin in
  361 Pharmaceutical Formulations Using Acid Dyes. 2014; Vol. 2014, p 16.
- 362 23. Nair, S. G.; Shah, J. V.; Shah, P. A.; Sanyal, M.; Shrivastav, P. S., Extractive
  363 spectrophotometric determination of five selected drugs by ion-pair complex formation with
  364 bromothymol blue in pure form and pharmaceutical preparations. *Cogent Chemistry* 2015, *1* (1),
  365 1075852.
- Rahman, N.; Hejaz-Azmi, S. N., Extractive spectrophotometric methods for determination
  of diltiazem HCl in pharmaceutical formulations using bromothymol blue, bromophenol blue and
  bromocresol green. *Journal of Pharmaceutical and Biomedical Analysis* 2000, 24 (1), 33-41.
- 369 25. Abdellatef, H. E., Extractive-spectrophotometric determination of disopyramide and
   370 irbesartan in their pharmaceutical formulation. *Spectrochimica Acta Part A: Molecular and* 371 *Biomolecular Spectroscopy* 2007, 66 (4), 1248-1254.
- 372 26. Britton, H. T. S., Hydrogen Ions, fourth ed. *Chapman and Hall* 1952.
- 373 27. (R1)., I. T. Q., Validation of analytical procedures: text and methodology
  374 (CPMP/ICH/281/95); accessed June 30 2010.
- 28. El-Brashy, A. M.; Metwally, M. E.-S.; El-Sepai, F. A., Spectrophotometric Determination
  of Some Fluoroquinolone Antibacterials by Ion-pair Complex Formation with Cobalt (II)
  Tetrathiocyanate. *Journal of the Chinese Chemical Society* 2005, *52* (1), 77-84.
- 378 29. Sastry, C. S. P.; Rao, K. R.; Prasad, D. S., Extractive spectrophotometric determination of

some fluoroquinolone derivatives in pure and dosage forms. *Talanta* **1995**, *42* (3), 311-316.

- 30. El-Brashy, A. M.; El-Sayed Metwally, M.; El-Sepai, F. A., Spectrophotometric determination of some fluoroquinolone antibacterials by binary complex formation with xanthene dyes. *Farmaco* **2004**, *59* (10), 809-17.
- 383 31. Gowda, B. G.; Seetharamappa, J., Extractive Spectrophotometric Determination of
- Fluoroquinolones and Antiallergic Drugs in Pure and Pharmaceutical Formulations. *Analytical Sciences* **2003**, *19* (3), 461-464.

386 32. Prashanth, K. N.; Basavaiah, K.; Raghu, M. S., Simple and Selective Spectrophotometric 387 Determination of Ofloxacin in Pharmaceutical Formulations Using Two Sulphonphthalein Acid 388 Dyes. *ISRN Spectroscopy* **2013**, *2013*, 9.

#### Data availability

(1) The data is all carried out at our laboratories at Faculty of Physics and chemical Engineering, Le Quy Don Technical University, 236 Hoang Quoc Viet, Hanoi, Viet Nam and Faculty of Chemistry, VNU- University of Science, Vietnam National University, Hanoi, 19 Le Thanh Tong, Hoan Kiem, Hanoi 10000, Vietnam

(2) The data in the manuscript can be accessed at Faculty of Physics and chemical Engineering, Le Quy Don Technical University, 236 Hoang Quoc Viet, Hanoi, Viet Nam

And Faculty of Chemistry, VNU- University of Science, Vietnam National University, Hanoi, 19 Le Thanh Tong, Hoan Kiem, Hanoi 10000, Vietnam

(3) Some restrictions on data access due to the lack of connection between two above faculties. Two faculty belongs to the different kinds of universities.

## Funding section

This work was supported financially by the project of Le Quy Don Technical University, under 11/HLKT/2017 project.